

Advisory to Nonprofit Organizations and Social Service Providers Regarding Immigration Enforcement

Updated April 1, 2025

Lowenstein Sandler LLP, Make the Road New Jersey, and Morris County Organization for Hispanic Affairs prepared this Advisory to educate nonprofits on their rights in responding to immigration enforcement activity at their facilities and to help them establish best practices. This Advisory has been updated to address the current enforcement policies as of the date above. Anyone relying on this Advisory should consider the Department of Homeland Security's (DHS) guidelines for enforcement actions in effect at the time of their review. We will endeavor to update this Advisory to reflect any changes in priorities and guidelines, as they are announced.

Note that much of the information provided below is intended to educate nonprofits on how they and their clients can assert rights embedded in the U.S. Constitution—rights that withstand and supersede any executive policy changes with respect to immigration enforcement.

Basics: Many nonprofits, especially social service providers, have asked questions about how they can respond if immigration agents come to their building or otherwise try to detain their clients. We have prepared the following information to help address those questions. If you have any further questions or think your nonprofit could benefit from a consultation from a lawyer on the subjects included in this Advisory, please email nonprofithelp@lowenstein.com to request assistance.

Note, however, that this document is for informational purposes only, is not intended as legal advice, and does not substitute for consulting with a lawyer about specific facts and circumstances.

How likely is it that federal immigration agents might come to our facility?

We cannot say for certain, however it is more likely under the current Administration. DHS has rescinded a previous policy that restricted federal immigration authorities from engaging in immigration enforcement actions at or near “protected areas” such schools, places of worship, and hospitals. This change in guidance suggests that such enforcement actions may occur at social service providers and other historically “protected areas,” and it is important for your agency to be prepared.

How likely is it that New Jersey law enforcement might come to our facility?

It remains unlikely that local law enforcement will be involved in enforcement actions to apprehend individuals for violations of federal immigration laws. In November 2018, the Attorney General of New Jersey issued the ATTORNEY GENERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT DIRECTIVE NO. 2018-6 v2.0, more

commonly known as the “Immigrant Trust Directive,” (The Directive)¹ a statewide policy designed to strengthen trust between New Jersey’s law enforcement officers and the state’s diverse immigrant communities. The Directive aims to ensure that victims and witnesses feel safe reporting crimes to local police without fear of deportation. It also seeks to “ensure effective policing, protect the safety of all New Jersey residents, and ensure that limited state, county, and local law enforcement resources are directed towards enforcing the criminal laws of this state” – not the enforcement of immigration laws, which is primarily a federal responsibility. The Directive applies to state and local police officers, correctional officers working in state prisons and county jails, and state and county prosecutors.

The Directive recognizes the distinct responsibilities of state and local law enforcement and limits the types of voluntary assistance that law enforcement officers may provide to federal immigration authorities. For example, under federal and state law, local law enforcement agencies are not required to enforce civil administrative warrants or detainers issued by federal immigration officers (rather than federal or state judges); local law enforcement officers are also not responsible for enforcing civil immigration violations except in narrowly defined circumstances. Although the Directive generally limits state and local law enforcement’s ability to cooperate with federal immigration enforcement, there are some exceptions involving individuals with enumerated criminal charges, convictions, or final removal orders.

The Directive is not codified into law and could be revoked at any time. There is also no apparent civil enforcement mechanism if the Directive is not being followed. If you observe a violation of the Directive, you can report it to the Office of the Attorney General, Division on Civil Rights (DCR).²

Note that the federal Department of Justice is directing its federal prosecutors to investigate for potential criminal charges any state or local officials who stand in the way of enforcement of immigration laws, and a Sanctuary Cities Enforcement Working Group has been formed to identify state and local policies that “threaten to impede” federal immigration efforts and potentially challenge them in court. We do not anticipate that these efforts will interfere with the Directive, which does not “impede” federal immigration enforcement but rather delineates local law enforcement’s role in such enforcement. Moreover, the Attorney General of New Jersey has repeatedly expressed his support for upholding and enforcing the Directive. We will continue to monitor the enforcement of the Directive and update this Advisory accordingly.

Should we collect and keep immigration information about our clients?

Nonprofits do not need to collect or maintain information about the immigration status or country of origin of a client unless necessary to providing your agency’s services to that client. If collecting such information is a requirement of funders, you may consider adopting policies that meet the data collection requirements in an aggregated and de-identified way that will protect such personal identifying information of clients.

¹ Office of the Attorney General of New Jersey, Law Enforcement Directive No. 2018-6 v2.0 (2019), available at <https://tinyurl.com/3mbhu97p> (last visited March 17, 2025).

² New Jersey Division on Civil Rights, available at <https://tinyurl.com/bde22943> (last visited March 17, 2025).

What should we do if immigration agents come to our facility?

In line with their respective missions, nonprofit agencies and social service providers should consider developing policies and protocols that exercise their rights under the U.S. Constitution. Certain guidelines may include:

- Nonprofits are not required to allow immigration or local law enforcement agents access to any part of their facilities that is not open to the general public. It may be helpful to place signs at the entrances to areas that are restricted (e.g., NO ENTRY WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION). To gain access to nonpublic areas, agents need a judicial search warrant identifying the areas to be searched. (An example is attached as Appendix A, but such warrants take many forms; **look for the signature of a judge.**) Immigration agents sometimes have “administrative” arrest warrants (signed by an immigration officer), but these do **not** authorize them to enter nonpublic areas, even if the person named in the administrative warrant is in that area. (An example is attached as Appendix B.)
- Note that areas open to the general public are also open to immigration agents. Organizations have the capability to modify the layout of their facility and transform a public area into a nonpublic area by implementing measures such as signage and access controls, ensuring that only authorized individuals can enter. This allows organizations to limit the areas agents may enter without a valid judicial warrant.
- Nonprofits are not required to release information about their clients to immigration officials or local law enforcement unless a judicial warrant or subpoena specifically requires the release of that information or the client has consented to the release of personal information to the immigration agency. In many cases, nonprofit agencies may actually be prohibited from such release of information as a matter of law, policy, or regulation.
- Nonprofits should train their staff (particularly those at reception areas or who have initial contact with the public) on how to respond if immigration agents or local law enforcement come to their location and set up a protocol for such encounters. (A suggested template is attached as Appendix C.)
- Here are some guidelines to keep in mind as you train staff on how to respond if immigration enforcement comes to your facility:
 - Staff can inform immigration or local law enforcement agents that they do not have permission to enter nonpublic areas of the facility unless they have a judicial warrant (as opposed to an administrative arrest warrant issued by ICE or CBP). Staff can then ask to **see** the warrant. If the immigration agents do not present a warrant, staff can inform the agents that the social service provider or other nonprofit has a policy of denying access in the absence of a valid warrant.
 - If the agents present a warrant, reception staff should consult with a

supervisor. Supervisory staff can then review the warrant to ensure that (1) it is signed by a judge, not an immigration official; (2) it authorizes a search of the nonprofit's facility; and (3) it has not expired. Supervisors can observe to ensure that any authorized search remains within the spatial boundaries set by the warrant. Supervisors should consult with legal counsel, if possible, about the validity and scope of the warrant.

- Staff can advise any clients who are nearby that they have the right to remain silent and do not have to answer any questions posed by immigration agents. Staff should be careful, however, **not to direct** clients not to speak to the agents, as this might be interpreted as interference. Note that Lawful Permanent Residents (LPRs) are required to carry their Green Card at all times and to present it to immigration authorities if asked to do so; LPRs therefore may not want to exercise their right to remain silent if directly asked to show proof of their immigration status. People with other immigration statuses should consult their immigration counsel about whether there are any immigration consequences for failing to provide identification to immigration authorities.
- Staff and clients can be informed that, at any point during an encounter with immigration agents, they can ask the agents if they are free to go. If the agent says yes, they are of course free to leave. If the agent says the person is not free to go, the person has the right to ask to talk to a lawyer and to remain silent otherwise. (But remember **not** to advise clients that they should not answer questions.)
- If immigration agents question staff, staff can politely inform the agents that they are not authorized to answer questions without consulting with a supervisor.
- Staff should **never lie** to immigration agents. For instance, if immigration agents ask about an individual who is actually in the building, staff should not say the person is not there but should instead decline to answer questions before consulting with a supervisor.
- Staff can document the name/contact information of the agents and the supervisor of the agents (ask for their cards). If the agents are in plain clothes, staff can ask to see their badges or other credentials and record their names and badge numbers.
- If possible, other staff members who are not directly interacting with the agents can record the encounter with immigration agents, but they should announce they are making a recording and keep a safe distance so as not to interfere.

- After any interaction, staff can write a thorough report of the encounter. (A sample reporting form is attached as Appendix D.)
- Staff should not take any action to hide or conceal any person, or aid in their escape from the premises. (See information below regarding congregations considering offering active “sanctuary” to community members.)

Are there special protections for certain types of facilities?

Probably not. ICE and CBP had policies under the Biden Administration relating to enforcement actions in or near areas that require special protection, referred to as “**Protected Areas**.” Examples of “protected areas” included a school, medical or mental healthcare facility, place of worship or religious study, social services establishment, a place where children gather, a place where disaster or emergency response and relief is being provided, or a place where a funeral, wedding or other religious or civil ceremonies or observances occur.

On January 20 2025, then-Acting Homeland Security Secretary Benjamine Huffman issued a memorandum to the acting leaders of ICE and CBP³ that rescinds Former Homeland Security Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas’ 2021 guidance for ICE and CBP enforcement actions in or near protected areas. On January 31, 2025, then-Acting Director of ICE Caleb Vitello issued a memorandum entitled “Common Sense Enforcement Actions in or Near Protected Areas.”⁴ This memo declares that ICE “will not be issuing bright line rules regarding where immigration laws are permitted to be exercised,” and instead directs agents to exercise “appropriate enforcement discretion.” This discretion involves “balanc[ing] a variety of interests,” and “making case-by-case determinations regarding whether, where, and when to conduct an immigration enforcement action in or near a protected area,” but does not require any additional supervisory authority before doing so. A January 21, 2025 statement issued by a DHS spokesperson suggests that ICE and CBP will not be restricting enforcement actions based on whether a location is protected or sensitive: “Criminals will no longer be able to hide in America’s schools and churches to avoid arrest. The Trump Administration will not tie the hands of our brave law enforcement, and instead trusts them to use common sense.”⁵

While the rescission of the 2021 Protected Areas policy is a significant change, it should be noted that the now-rescinded policy provided limited protections to nonprofits and social services providers. The policy did not prevent immigration agents from entering protected areas, nor did it prevent them from engaging in enforcement actions, including arrests, civil apprehensions,

³ U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, [Enforcement Actions in or Near Protected Areas](https://www.lowenstein.com/media/3ouhdq3b/protected-areas-signed-january-2025.pdf) (2025), available at <https://www.lowenstein.com/media/3ouhdq3b/protected-areas-signed-january-2025.pdf> (last visited March 17, 2025).

⁴ U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, [Common Sense Enforcement Actions in or Near Protected Areas](https://tinyurl.com/3zs2p7xc) (2025), available at <https://tinyurl.com/3zs2p7xc> (last visited March 17, 2025).

⁵ U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Statement from a DHS Spokesperson on Directives Expanding Law Enforcement and Ending the Abuse of Humanitarian Parole (Jan. 21, 2025), available at <https://tinyurl.com/5vb2vphy> (last visited March 17, 2025).

searches, inspections, seizures, interviews, and surveillance activities at or near these locations. While discouraging enforcement actions in protected areas, the policy allowed ICE agents to undertake them so long as the agents went through a supervisory review process or they could show exigent circumstances. Thus, protected areas were already vulnerable to such enforcement actions, and this change in policy signals more of a change in intent than the ability to engage in enforcement actions in what have always been considered protected areas in our communities. Significantly, this change in policy has no impact on your ability to assert your organization's and your clients' constitutional rights when faced with immigration enforcement actions.

There is also active litigation by certain religious institutions challenging the rescission of the policy,⁶ and a bill was recently introduced in Congress to re-establish the protections previously afforded to these types of facilities and gatherings.⁷ Despite these efforts, you should consider the policy to be rescinded and prepare accordingly.

What if immigration agents do not come into our building but are seen outside?

First, you may want to verify that the situation involves immigration agents. A supervisor can go outside and attempt to ascertain the identity of the individuals who are perceived to be immigration agents. It is possible that the report resulted from fear or confusion, and it would be best not to create concern when it is not warranted. If the agents are indeed from ICE or CBP, staff may also inform clients inside your facility of the situation and advise that they have the right to remain silent. You may also want to advise LPRs of their obligation to produce their Green Card if asked to do so.

Could our organization be accused of breaking the law if we do not grant immigration authorities access to our building?

Nonprofit organizations and social service agencies are **not** violating federal law when they refuse to provide ICE or CBP agents access to **nonpublic** areas of their facilities in the absence of a judicial warrant or when they refuse to turn over information unless the agents present a subpoena or judicial warrant.

However, nonprofits and their staff should be aware that if they take affirmative steps to conceal an individual or aid in a person's escape from immigration authorities, they could be accused of violating federal laws against "harboring" undocumented individuals. Some faith congregations or other entities may consider engaging in such activities as a form of civil disobedience (this is often referred to as becoming a "sanctuary" congregation). Organizations that make this decision should understand the potential risks involved and should consult with an attorney for specific advice as to their legal risks.

It bears repeating, however, that asserting the right not to answer questions about individuals who

⁶ See, e.g. <https://www.law.georgetown.edu/icap/wp-content/uploads/sites/32/2025/02/Mennonite-Church-USA-v.-U.S.-Department-of-Homeland-Security-Complaint.pdf> (last visited Feb. 21, 2025).

⁷ See <https://nlihc.org/resource/department-homeland-security-rescinds-protected-areas-policy> (last visited Feb. 21, 2025).

may or may not be present in a facility or refusing to collect information regarding your clients' immigration status would not implicate federal prohibitions against harboring undocumented people.

What if a client is detained in or near our facility?

You have a right to observe the arrest from a reasonable distance, so as not to interfere, and to record the incident (it is best to announce that you are doing so). To the extent possible without interfering with the arrest, you may remind your client that they have the right to remain silent and ask if the client would like you to contact a family member or an attorney. If the client consents, you may contact an attorney or family member to let them know that the person has been detained.

If a client cannot afford an attorney, are referrals available for free legal help?

Resources for possible legal help are available at this [link](#).⁸

Are there free presentations that could help our immigrant clients understand their rights? Are there other Know Your Rights resources?

Please refer clients to the following organizations for more information:

- Contact NJ Alliance for Immigrant Justice to learn about “Know Your Rights” presentations in their area, by emailing info@njimmigrantjustice.org.

You may also want to keep Know Your Rights materials on hand.

- The ACLU has prepared Know Your Rights cards in several languages – click [here](#)⁹
- The American Immigration Lawyers Association has prepared one-page Know Your Rights materials in several languages – click [here](#)¹⁰
- The NJ Alliance for Immigrant Justice has prepared many resources, including Know Your Rights cards in several languages – click [here](#)¹¹
- The New Jersey Consortium for Immigrant Children has prepared an Immigrants' Rights Toolkit – click [here](#)¹²

⁸ Administrative Relief Resource Center, <https://tinyurl.com/42d4p3ft> (last visited March 17, 2025).

⁹ American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), <https://www.aclu.org/know-your-rights/immigrants-rights> (last visited March 17, 2025).

¹⁰ American Immigration Lawyers Association (AILA), <https://tinyurl.com/ms6f2vd7> (last visited last visited March 17, 2025).

¹¹ New Jersey Alliance for Immigrant Justice, https://www.njimmigrantjustice.org/know_your_rights_cards (last visited last visited March 17, 2025).

¹² New Jersey Consortium for Immigrant Children, *Immigrant Rights Toolkit*, <https://www.njcic.org/immigrants-rights-resources> (last visited last visited March 17, 2025).

APPENDIX A

A judicial warrant issued by a court; Una orden judicial es emitida por una corte

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the District of New Jersey

In the Matter of the Search of (Briefly describe the property to be searched or identify the person by name and address)

Case No. 17-1234

123 Broad Street, Newark, NJ, Apt. 4

A judicial warrant includes the address being searched; Una orden judicial incluye la dirección de la búsqueda

SEARCH AND SEIZURE WARRANT

To: Any authorized law enforcement officer

An application by a federal law enforcement officer or an attorney for the government requests the search of the following person or property located in the District of New Jersey (identify the person or describe the property to be searched and give its location):

123 Broad Street, Newark, NJ: Apt. 4 and all common hallways and lobby of building

I find that the affidavit(s), or any recorded testimony, establish probable cause to search and seize the person or property described above, and that such search will reveal (identify the person or describe the property to be seized):

John Doe, A-123-456-789, a deportable alien with convictions for crimes involving moral turpitude; Goods stolen from XYZ Retail at 123 Commerce Street, Newark, NJ, on April 1, 2017, in a robbery allegedly involving John Doe.

A judicial warrant includes the time when the search must take place; Una orden judicial incluye el tiempo cuando la búsqueda debe de ocurrir

YOU ARE COMMANDED to execute this warrant on or before April 24, 2017 (not to exceed 14 days) in the daytime 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. at any time in the day or night because good cause has been established.

Unless delayed notice is authorized below, you must give a copy of the warrant and a receipt for the property taken to the person from whom, or from whose premises, the property was taken, or leave the copy and receipt at the place where the property was taken.

The officer executing this warrant, or an officer present during the execution of the warrant, must prepare an inventory as required by law and promptly return this warrant and inventory to Jane Smith, U.S.M.J. (United States Magistrate Judge)

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3103a(b), I find that immediate notification may have an adverse result listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2705 (except for delay of trial), and authorize the officer executing this warrant to delay notice to the person who, or whose property, will be searched or seized (check the appropriate box)

for days (not to exceed 30) until, the facts justifying, the later specific date of

Date and time issued: 04/10/2017 10:00 am

Jane Smith Judge's signature

City and state: Newark, NJ

Jane Smith, United States Magistrate Judge Printed name and title

A judicial warrant must be signed by a judge; Una orden judicial debe de estar firmado por una juez

APPENDIX B

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

WARRANT OF REMOVAL/DEPORTATION


An administrative warrant is issued
by DHS or ICE, not a court;
Una orden administrativa de ICE es
emitida por DHS o ICE, no una corte

File No: _____

Date: _____

To any immigration officer of the United States Department of Homeland Security:

(Full name of alien)

who entered the United States at _____ on _____
(Place of entry) (Date of entry)

is subject to removal/deportation from the United States, based upon a final order by:

- an immigration judge in exclusion, deportation, or removal proceedings
- a designated official
- the Board of Immigration Appeals
- a United States District or Magistrate Court Judge

and pursuant to the following provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act:

I, the undersigned officer of the United States, by virtue of the power and authority vested in the Secretary of Homeland Security under the laws of the United States and by his or her direction, command you to take into custody and remove from the United States the above-named alien, pursuant to law, at the expense of:

An ICE administrative warrant is signed by an
immigration officer or an immigration judge;
Una orden administrativa de ICE es firmada por un
oficial de inmigración o juez de inmigración



(Signature of immigration officer)

(Title of immigration officer)

(Date and office location)

APPENDIX C

SAMPLE PROTOCOL REGARDING INTERACTIONS WITH IMMIGRATION AGENCIES

[Note: This template is a generic protocol that should be adapted to the particular circumstances of your agency. Each agency should consult with an attorney whenever possible to evaluate and provide advice regarding your specific circumstances.]

POLICY

It is the policy of [Agency] to ensure that our clients are safe and protected when they use our facilities and services. [Agency] will take steps to the greatest extent possible under the law to protect our clients and their information. [Agency] will not collect or maintain information about its clients' immigration status or country of origin except when necessary to serve the client appropriately. It is the policy of [Agency] not to allow agents or employees of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) or Customs and Border Protection (CBP) access to our facilities, records, or information unless this is required by law or a valid judicial warrant. The same policies and procedures apply to police officers who are acting with or at the request of ICE/CBP agents to enforce the immigration laws.

PROCEDURES

Procedures regarding access to [Agency] facilities/buildings:

If any agents or employees from ICE or CBP attempt to enter [Agency]'s facilities, staff will follow this protocol:

1. Staff should ask for identification and document the name/contact information of the ICE/CBP/local law enforcement agents seeking access to the facility. This can be done by asking for business cards or asking the agents directly.
2. Reception staff [*or insert front-line staff title*] will inform ICE/CBP/local law enforcement agents that they do NOT have consent to enter the nonpublic areas of the building or facility unless they have a valid judicial warrant.
 - To the extent possible, the Agency should have signs indicating what areas are restricted (e.g., NO ENTRANCE WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION)
3. Staff should inform supervisors [*include information on which supervisor(s) should be contacted and how*] about ICE/CBP/local law enforcement presence in [Agency]'s facility as soon as possible.
4. Staff should advise any clients who are nearby that they have the right to remain silent and do not have to answer any questions posed by immigration agents but *should not direct* clients not to answer questions.
5. If the ICE/CBP/local law enforcement agents claim to have a warrant to enter the facility, staff should ask for a copy of the warrant, inform the agents to wait at a specified location, and contact a supervisor for assistance.

6. Supervisors reviewing warrants should contact legal counsel if possible. Supervisors should review the warrant to ensure that (a) it is signed by a judge or magistrate, (b) it identifies [Agency's] facility as the place to be searched, and (c) it has the correct date and has not expired (if there was an expiration date listed in the warrant). If possible, supervisors should accompany the agents during the search, maintaining a respectful distance, to ensure that the search is confined to those areas identified in the warrant as the places to be searched. Administrative arrest or removal warrants that are signed by an immigration officer (rather than a judge or magistrate) do *not* grant authority for ICE/CBP/local law enforcement to enter nonpublic areas of the facility or building.
7. Besides informing ICE/CBP/local law enforcement agents that they do not have consent to enter the facility without a valid judicial warrant, staff should not answer questions posed by the agents without consulting with a supervisor. In particular, staff should not answer questions about whether a particular person (client or staff) is currently in the building or facility, but should state instead that they are not authorized to answer questions.
8. To the extent possible, staff who are not interacting with the ICE/CBP/local law enforcement agents should record any interactions with the agents, but they should announce that they are making a recording. Staff should remain a reasonable distance from such incidents so as not to interfere. Remember that during an encounter with ICE/CBP/local law enforcement, it is important to deescalate the situation by remaining calm, being respectful, and remaining polite.
9. Staff and clients should know (or be informed) that, at any point during an encounter with immigration agents, they can ask the agents if they are free to go. If the agent says yes, they are of course free to leave. If the agent says the person is not free to go, the person has the right to ask to talk to a lawyer and to remain silent otherwise. (But remember not to advise clients that they should not answer questions.)
10. Staff should complete a written report of the enforcement action.

PROCEDURES

Procedures regarding requests for access to [Agency] records/files:

If any agents or employees from ICE, CBP or local law enforcement request access to records or documents regarding [Agency]'s clients or staff, staff will follow this protocol:

1. Staff should inform the ICE/CBP/local law enforcement agents that [Agency]'s policy is not to release information without a client's consent, unless disclosure is required by judicial order or subpoena or otherwise required by law.
2. If ICE/CBP/local law enforcement agents claim to have a warrant or subpoena, staff should not release information without consulting with a supervisor. Staff should request a copy of the warrant or subpoena, ask for the agents' contact information, and consult with a supervisor. Whenever possible, the supervisor should consult with an attorney.

APPENDIX D

REPORT ON ENFORCEMENT ACTION BY IMMIGRATION OFFICIALS

Date: _____ Time: _____

How many officers? _____

Names and/or badge numbers:

How did their uniforms identify them?

Who did they say they were and why did they say they were there?

Did you ask to see a warrant? _____

Did the agents present a warrant? _____

If not, did you deny them consent to enter? What did you say?

How did they react if you denied them consent to enter?

If the agents presented a warrant, was a supervisor alerted? Who?

Was the warrant an administrative warrant, signed by an immigration official? _____

If it was an administrative warrant, did you tell the agents that your organization has a policy of denying access to nonpublic areas in the absence of a judicial warrant?

What did you say?

How did the agents react if you denied them consent to enter based on an administrative warrant?

Did the agents present a judicial warrant, signed by a judge? _____

If so, please describe the warrant:

- What was the date on the warrant? _____
- What items or persons were the subject of the search?

- What areas were identified to be searched?

- What judge signed the warrant? _____

Did you allow the agents entry based on a judicial warrant? _____

If so, did you or another staff member accompany them on their search? Who?

Did the agents stay within the areas they were authorized to search by the warrant? If not, what other areas did they enter? Did they look in closed closets, cabinets, or drawers? Did they ask permission first?

Did they keep anyone from moving around freely? Who?

Did they arrest anyone? Who?

Did they seize any items? What?

Did they take pictures of documents? If so, whose? How did they get the documents?

Did they take fingerprints? If so, whose?

Were there children present? If so, whose? How many?

Did the agents yell at anyone? Who? Why?

Did the agents have guns drawn or were they touching their weapons?

Is there anything else to add about the enforcement action?
